BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:)	
•)	·
JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D.)	Case No. 800-2017-030624
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate No. G42274)	
).	
Respondent)	
	_)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 26, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED: March 28, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald Lewis, M.D., Chair

Panel A

2	Attorney General of California E. A. JONES III			
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	CINDY M. LOPEZ Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 119988 California Department of Justice	•		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	·		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6494 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	· .	· ·		
9	BEFOR MEDICAL BOARD			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
11	STATE OF C.	ALIFURNIA		
12				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation/Petition to	Case No. 800-2017-030624		
14	Revoke Probation Against:	OAH No. 2018060461		
15	JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. 5020 East Washington Boulevard			
16	City of Commerce. California 90040	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
	Physician's and Sungapula Contificate No. C.	·		
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274	•		
18	Respondent.			
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
20				
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-		
22	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	e true:		
23	PART	<u>ries</u>		
24	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
2.5	of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in			
26	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of	of the State of California, by Cindy M. Lopez,		
27	Deputy Attorney General.			
28				
	1337844 [1		

- 2. Respondent JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Joel Bruce Douglas, whose address is: 355 South Grand Ave., Ste. 1750 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1562
- 3. On or about July 1, 1980, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2017-030624, and will expire on September 30, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2017-030624 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 15, 2017. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2017-030624 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2017-030624.

 Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

<u>CULPABILITY</u>

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2017-030624, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie basis for the Third Cause for Discipline in the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation (Inaccurate Record Keeping), and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

1337844.1

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the term of seven years of probation imposed by Decision and Order in Case No. 11-2011-218741, effective February 8, 2013, a true copy of which is attached as Exhibit B, is extended for one additional year, for a total of eight years from February 8, 2013. All other terms and conditions of said Decision and Order remain unchanged and unaffected.

1. MONITORING - BILLING. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a billing evaluator, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. The billing evaluator shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the evaluator to render a fair and unbiased report to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, and shall be in Respondent's field of practice. The Board or its designee shall provide the approved evaluator with copies of the Decision and Accusation. Respondent shall pay all evaluation costs.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall make all records available to the evaluator for immediate inspection. The evaluator shall review the said records to determine if Respondent's current billing practices comport with acceptable standards of practice for billing.

The evaluator shall prepare a report summarizing his/her findings and impressions as to whether Respondent's current billing practices comport with acceptable standards of practice for billing. The report shall be sent to the Board's designee.

In the event the billing evaluator concludes that Respondent's current billing practices do not comport with acceptable standards of practice for billing, then within 30 calendar days of

1337844.1

.23

notification thereof to the Respondent of that conclusion, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a billing monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 15 days of the billing monitor's submission of a signed statement agreeing with an approved monitoring plan, Respondent's billing shall be monitored by the approved monitor for a period of one year. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and, if need be, copying on the premises by the monitor and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a billing monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and/or, in the event the billing evaluator concludes Respondent's current billing practices do not comport with acceptable standards of practice for billing, if Respondent fails to obtain approval of a billing monitor within 60 days of notification by the billing evaluator that Respondent's current billing practices do not comport with acceptable standards for billing, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent

shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

If a billing monitor is appointed, the monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice for billing, and whether Respondent is billing appropriately. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education regarding the issue of appropriate billing practices. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Joel Bruce Douglas. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

1337844.1

1	
· 2	DATED: 1/9/19 Monte Long mil
3	JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. Respondent
4	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. the
5	terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and
6	Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.
7	
8	DATED: 1/9/19 (gul Vous) mys
9	JOEL BRUCE DOUGLAS Attorney for Respondent
10	
11	ENDORSEMENT
12	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
13	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.
14	
15	Dated: 3.6.19 Respectfully submitted,
16	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
17	E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General
18	
19	Circh m 2
20	Deputy Attorney General
21	Attorneys for Complainant
22	
23	
24	LA2017504338
25	Lemus Stip JBD Revisions DRAFT 1-3-19 - Accepted Rev.docx
26	
27	
28	
	1337844.1

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2017-030624)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINE R. FRIAR Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 228421 California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-6404 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO MANUAL 15, 20 17 BY: ANALYST
8	MEDICAL BOARI DEPARTMENT OF C	RE THE D OF CALIFORNIA CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
10	STATE OF	ALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation/Petition to	Case No. 8002017030624
12	Revoke Probation Against:	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO
13	JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D.	REVOKE PROBATION
14	5020 East Washington Boulevard City of Commerce. California 90040	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274,	
16	Respondent.	
17		_
18		,
19	Complainant alleges:	
20		RTIES
21	1	nt) brings this Accusation and Petition to Revoke
22	Probation solely in her official capacity as the I	Executive Director of the Medical Board of
23	California (Board).	Di Li Li and Commonte Comificate Number G
24	2. On July 1, 1980, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G	
25	il l	
26	times relevant to the charges brought herein an	d will expire on September 30, 2017, unless
27	renewed.	
28	//	
	(JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D.) ACCUSATION/F	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION NO. 8002017030624

- 3. In a criminal action brought in the United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. CR11-00181-MMM, Respondent pled guilty and, on October 24, 2011, was convicted of violating 26 U.S.C. 7201, a felony, for attempting to evade or defeat payment of income tax. Specifically, during the 2003 tax year, Respondent owned and operated Lemus Medical Center, in Commerce, California. During that time period, Respondent provided physicals and drug testing to truck drivers as required by the Department of Transportation. Respondent required most of those truck drivers to pay for the testing in cash. Respondent received approximately \$88,663 in cash for performing these drug tests. Respondent knowingly failed to report these cash payments to the Internal Revenue Service. Respondent was sentenced to a one-month commitment to the Bureau of Prisons, supervised release for a period of three years, with nine months in a home detention program, filing and payment of taxes owed for 2003 through 2007, and additional fees and penalties.
- 4. In a disciplinary action entitled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against James Alfred Lemus*, M.D., Case No. 11-2011-218741, on or about November 6, 2012, Respondent stipulated to the revocation of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate due to his felony criminal conviction for attempting to evade or defeat payment of income tax in violation of 26 U.S.C. 7201. Based on a Stipulated Settlement between Respondent and the Board, effective February 8, 2013, the Board issued a Decision and Order, in which Dr. Lemus' California Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was suspended for thirty days and placed on probation for a period of seven years with special terms and conditions.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 6. Section 2004 of the Code states:"The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

//

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - False Representations)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 2234, subdivision (a), and 2261 in that he submitted bills to insurance companies on which he named another provider as the treating physician when, in fact, Respondent was the treating physician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 12. Respondent is the owner and operator of Lemus Medical Center, Inc. (LMC) located in Commerce, California. Respondent practices industrial medicine, primarily treating worker's compensation patients and conducting disability evaluations.
 - 13. Respondent works five or six days a week for approximately twelve hours a day.
- 14. During the time period relevant to the allegations herein, Respondent employed another physician, Dr. A.¹, who treated patients at LMC on Wednesdays from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Dr. A. was not responsible for submitting bills for patient treatment to any insurance providers.

Patient G.S.

- 15. Between January 16, 2014 and February 3, 2014, Respondent treated Patient G.S. on at least five occasions.
- 16. On the claim Respondent submitted to the State Insurance Compensation Fund (SICF) for payment for the services he provided to Patient G.S., Dr. A. is exclusively named as the treatment provider.
- 17. On numerous of Patient G.S.'s medical records, as maintained by Respondent, Dr. A. is named as Patient G.S.'s treatment provider.
- 18. On Patient G.S.'s remaining medical records, as maintained by Respondent, Respondent is listed as the treatment provider.
 - 19. Patient G.S. was never treated by Dr. A. and only by Respondent.

//

¹ Initials will be used to protect the privacy of witnesses and patients.

1 /

25.

- 20. On claims for payment that Respondent submitted to Employers Compensation Insurance Company (ECI) for medical services that Respondent provided to Patient C.D.G. between January 2, 2014 and January 30, 2014, Dr. A. is exclusively named as the treatment provider.
- 21. On Patient C.D.G.'s medical records, as maintained by Respondent, for Patient C.D.G.'s January 2, 2014 through January 30, 2014 visits, Dr. A. is named as Patient C.D.G.'s treatment provider.
 - 22. Patient C.D.G. was never treated by Dr. A. and only by Respondent.

Patient J.D.G.

- 23. On claims for payment that Respondent submitted to ECI for medical services that Respondent provided to Patient J.D.G. between December 30, 2013 and January 23, 2014, Dr. A. is exclusively named as the treatment provider.
- 24. On numerous of Patient J.D.G.'s medical records, as maintained by Respondent, Dr. A. is named as Patient J.D.G.'s treatment provider.
- 25. On Patient J.D.G.'s remaining medical records, as maintained by Respondent, Respondent is named as the treatment provider.
 - 26. Patient J.D.G. was never treated by Dr. A. and only by Respondent.
- 27. Respondent knew that Dr. A. was sometimes named as the treatment provider on insurance claims forms even though Respondent was actually the treating physician.
- 28. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 12 through 27, inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute false representations in violation of Code sections 2234, subdivision (a), and 2261 and cause for discipline exists.

//

//

//

8

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

24

23

25

26 27

28

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dishonesty)

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 2234, subdivisions 29. (a) and (e), in that Respondent submitted bills to insurance companies on which he named another provider as the treating physician when, in fact, Respondent was the treating physician. The circumstances are as follows:
- Paragraphs 12 through 27 are incorporated by reference and re-alleged as if fully set 30. forth herein.
- Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 12 through 27, 31. inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute dishonest acts in violation of section 2234, subdivisions (a) and (e), of the Code and cause for discipline exists.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Inaccurate Record Keeping)

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2266 in that he failed 32. to maintain accurate records concerning his care and treatment of Patients G.S., C.D.G. and J.D.G. The circumstances are as follows:
- Paragraphs 12 through 27 are incorporated by reference and re-alleged as if fully set 33. forth herein.
- In numerous instances, Respondent incorrectly recorded in Patients G.S.'s, C.D.G.'s 34. and J.D.G.'s medical records that Dr. A was the treatment provider. These patients were never, however, treated by Dr. A., but instead by Respondent.
- Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 12 through 27 and 33-35. 34, inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute inadequate record keeping in violation of section 2266 of the Code and cause for discipline exists.

//

CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Obey All Laws)

- 36. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 5 of the Decision, (attached hereto as Exhibit A), stated:
- "OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other order."
- 37. Paragraphs 12 through 35 are incorporated by reference and re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. Respondent violated his probation because he violated the laws governing the practice of medicine in California (Code sections 2234, subdivisions (a) and (e), 2234 and 2266) when he submitted bills to insurance companies on which he named another provider as the treating physician when, in fact, Respondent was the treating physician; and when he documented in Patients G.S.'s, C.D.G.'s and J.D.G.'s medical record that they were treated by Dr. A. and not himself.
- 39. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he violated Condition 5 of his probation, as set forth above in paragraphs 37 through 38.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

40. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that Respondent was previously disciplined for incurring a felony criminal conviction for attempting to evade or defeat payment of income tax in violation of 26 U.S.C. 7201. Specifically, on or about February 8, 2013, in a disciplinary action entitled, *In the Matter of the Accusation Against James Alfred Lemus, M.D.*, Medical Board of California, Case No. 11-2011-218741 Respondent's license was revoked with the revocation stayed and seven years of probation imposed. Respondent's license was also suspended for thirty days. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

//

//

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case No. 11-2011-218741 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274 issued to James Alfred Lemus, M.D.;

- 2. Revoking or suspending his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate;
- 3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of his authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- 4. If placed on probation, ordering him to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: March 15, 2017

KIMBERLY KYRCHMEYER

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2017504338

ĺ

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:) })
JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D.) Case No. 11-2011-218741
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G-42274))
Respondent)))

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 8. 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED: January 9, 2013.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

arbara Yaroslavsky, Cha

Panel A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General PEGGE BRADFORD TARWATER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 169127 California Department of Justice 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-6068 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant	RE THE	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	GIAIDOI	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 11-2011-218741	
12	JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. 5020 East Washington Boulevard	OAH No. 2012090231	
13	City of Commerce. California 90040	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274		
15	Respondent.		
. 16		J	
17	AND	DEED by and between the parties to the above-	
18	!	REED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters	•	
20	PARTIES.		
21	1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of		
22	California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). She brought this action solely in her.		
23	official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the		
24	State of California, by Peggie Bradford Tarwater, Deputy Attorney General.		
25	2. Respondent James Alfred Lemus, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this		
26	proceeding by attorney Thomas R. Bradford, Esq., whose address is 100 North First Street, Suite		
27	300, Burbank, California 91502		
28			
		1	

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (11-2011-218741)

1

2

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 11-2011-218741.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.

 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274 issued to Respondent James Alfred Lemus, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for seven years on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u>. As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 30 days. Days spent in custody as a result of Respondent's commitment to the Bureau of Prisons in United States District Court, Central District of California, Case Number CR11-00181-MMM may be credited to meet the 30-day requirement.
- 2. <u>COMMUNITY SERVICE FREE SERVICES</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval a community service plan in which Respondent shall within the first three years of probation, provide 300 hours of free services (e.g., medical or nonmedical) to a community or non-profit organization. Prior to engaging in any community service, Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) to the chief of staff, director, office manager, program manager, officer, or the chief executive officer at every community or non-profit organization where Respondent provides community service and shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days. This condition shall also apply to any change(s) in community service.

Community service performed prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted in fulfillment of this condition.

3. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1358. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified

by the program, but no later than one year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, he accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. NOTIFICATION. Within seven days of the effective date of this Decision,
Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the
Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to
Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine,
including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief
Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to
Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15
calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 5. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 6. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

7. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Rencwal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

8. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9.

its designce in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall 10 not be considered as a period of non-practice. 11 In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar 12

NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or

months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- COMPLETION OF PROBATION. Respondent shall comply with all financial 10. obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- VIOLATION OF PROBATION. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition 11. of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke

- 12. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

20 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 ///

22 1///

23 ///

24 | ///

25 1//

26 ///

27 111

28 1//

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully

	discussed it with my attorney, Thomas R. Bradford, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the		
	effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated		
5	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be		
5	bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.		
,	le de la companya de		
3	DATED: 0/6/12 James fernue		
9	Respondent		
0	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent James Alfred Lemus, M.D. the terms and		
1	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.		
2	I approve its form and content.		
3	DATED: ///G/M2 Thomas R. Bradford, Esq.		
4	Attorney for Respondent		
5	111		
6	$\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}$		
7	111		
8	111		
9	111		
20	///		
21	111		
22	111		
23	111		
24			
25	111		
26			
27	111		
28	111		
	9		

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated:

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HÁRRIS Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General

PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2012604115

60867964.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 11-2011-218741

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO JULY 34 1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 169127 California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 5 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-6068 6 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 11-2011-218741 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. 5020 East Washington Boulevard ACCUSATION 13 City of Commerce, California 90040 14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274. 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity 20 1. as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs 21 22 (Board). On or about July 1, 1980, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and 23 2. Surgeon's Certificate number G 42274 to James Alfred Lemus, M.D. (Respondent). The 24 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 25. charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2013, unless renewed. 26 27 111 28

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws.
- 4. Business and Professions Code section 2227¹ provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in-relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "
- || "..

- 17 "...
 - "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
 - 6. Section 2236 of the Code states:
 - "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

¹ All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code, unless otherwise indicated.

"(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of noto contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

8. Title 26, United States Code, section 7201 provides:

"Any person who willfully attempts in any manner to evade or defeat any tax imposed by this title or the payment thereof shall, in addition to other penalties provided by law, be guilty of a felony and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined not more than \$100,000 (\$500,000 in the case of a corporation), or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both, together with the costs of prosecution."

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2227, 2234, and 2236 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, in that he was convicted of attempting to evade or defeat payment of income tax in violation of 26 United States Code section 7201. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. During the 2003 tax year, Respondent owned and operated Lemus Medical Clinic (LMC), in Commerce, California. During that time period, LMC provided physicals and drug

testing to truck drivers as required by the Department of Transportation. Respondent required most of those truck drivers to pay for the testing in cash. In 2003, Respondent received approximately \$88,663 in cash from performing these drug tests. However, in order to conceal the cash receipts from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). Respondent knowingly failed to report that cash to the IRS.

- 11. Respondent knew that he had received substantial taxable income during 2003, namely \$88,663 in cash, and that he was required to file a United States Individual Tax Return, Form 1040, reporting his taxable income, including the cash he received, and pay the taxes that were due. Respondent willfully attempted to evade and defeat the assessment and payment of such tax by willfully failing to report the cash he received on his 2003 Federal Income Tax Return.
- 12. Respondent acted for the purpose of evading his duties under the tax laws and not as a result of accident or negligence. Respondent's actions resulted in an additional tax due and owing to the IRS of \$20,626 for 2003.
- 13. On or about March 2, 2011, in United States District Court, Central District of California, Case Number CR11-00181-MMM, an Information was filed charging Respondent with attempting to evade or defeat payment of income tax in violation of 26 United States Code section 7201.
- 14. On or about March 2, 2011, a plea agreement was filed in which Respondent pled guilty to the charge as set forth in the Information.
- 15. On or about October 24, 2011, Respondent was sentenced. The terms and conditions included the following: one-month commitment to the Bureau of Prisons; supervised release for a period of three years, with nine months in a home detention program; filing and payment of taxes owed for 2003 through 2007; and additional fees and penalties.
- 16. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraphs 9 through 15 constitutes the conviction of a crime, substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician, pursuant to section 2236 of the Code. Therefore cause for discipline exists.

PRAYER 1 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 2 3 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 42274, 1. 4 issued to James Alfred Lemus, M.D.; 5 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of his authority to supervise physician's 6 assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code; 7 If he is placed on probation, ordering him to pay the Medical Board of California the 8 3. 9 costs of probation monitoring; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 10 11 12 July 24, 2012 DATED: 13 **Executive Director** Medical Board of California 14 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 15 16 Complainant 17 LA2012604115 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)))
JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D.) Case No. 11-2011-218741
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G-42274)))
Respondent)) .)

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 8, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED: January 9, 2013.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Barbara Yaroslavsky, Chai

Panel A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 169127 California Department of Justice 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-6068 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	·	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 11-2011-218741	
12	JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. 5020 East Washington Boulevard COUNTY AND COUNTY AND	
13	City of Commerce. California 90040 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of	
22	California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). She brought this action solely in her	
23	official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the	
24	State of California, by Peggie Bradford Tarwater, Deputy Attorney General.	
25	2. Respondent James Alfred Lemus, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this	
26	proceeding by attorney Thomas R. Bradford, Esq., whose address is 100 North First Street, Suite	
27	300, Burbank, California 91502	
28.		

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (11-2011-218741)

3. On or about July 1, 1980, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274 to James Alfred Lemus, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 11-2011-218741 and will expire on September 30, 2013, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 11-2011-218741 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 24, 2012. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 11-2011-218741 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 11-2011-218741. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

26 ///

27 1//

28 | *| | | |*

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 11-2011-218741.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274 issued to Respondent James Alfred Lemus, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for seven years on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u>. As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 30 days. Days spent in custody as a result of Respondent's commitment to the Bureau of Prisons in United States District Court, Central District of California, Case Number CR11-00181-MMM may be credited to meet the 30-day requirement.
- 2. <u>COMMUNITY SERVICE FREE SERVICES</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval a community service plan in which Respondent shall within the first three years of probation, provide 300 hours of free services (e.g., medical or nonmedical) to a community or non-profit organization. Prior to engaging in any community service, Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) to the chief of staff, director, office manager, program manager, officer, or the chief executive officer at every community or non-profit organization where Respondent provides community service and shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days. This condition shall also apply to any change(s) in community service.

Community service performed prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted in fulfillment of this condition.

3. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1358. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified

by the program, but no later than one year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. NOTIFICATION. Within seven days of the effective date of this Decision,
Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the
Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to
Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine,
including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief
Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to
Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15
calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 5. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 6. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

8. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

9. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 10. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 11. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke

Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully

3	discussed it with my attorney, Thomas R. Bradford, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the
4	effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated
5	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be
6	bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.
7	
8	DATED: 1/6/12 James fernulus
9	JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. Respondent
10	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent James Alfred Lemus, M.D. the terms and
11	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order
12	I approve its form and content.
13	DATED: 11/6/12 FOR 18-16-14 FOR
14	Thomas R. Bradford, Esq. Attorney for Respondent
15	111
16	111
17	111
18	111
19	///
20	111
21	111
22	111
23	111
24	

25⁻

26

27 ///

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 11/9/13

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General

PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

LA2012604115 60867964.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 11-2011-218741

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO Jul. 24 1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General PEGGIE BRADFORD TARWATER 3 Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 169127 California Department of Justice 5 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-6068 6 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 7 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 11-2011-218741 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 11 12 JAMES ALFRED LEMUS, M.D. 5020 East Washington Boulevard ACCUSATION City of Commerce, California 90040 13 14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42274, 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity 20 1. as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs 21 22 (Board). On or about July 1, 1980, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and 23 2. Surgeon's Certificate number G 42274 to James Alfred Lemus, M.D. (Respondent). The 24\ Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 25 charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2013, unless renewed. 26 27 111 28

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws.
- 4. Business and Professions Code section 2227¹ provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - 1
 - "• •

- 11

- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
 - 6. Section 2236 of the Code states:
- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code, unless otherwise indicated.

"(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

8. Title 26, United States Code, section 7201 provides:

"Any person who willfully attempts in any manner to evade or defeat any tax imposed by this title or the payment thereof shall, in addition to other penalties provided by law, be guilty of a felony and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined not more than \$100,000 (\$500,000 in the case of a corporation), or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both, together with the costs of prosecution."

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2227, 2234, and 2236 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, in that he was convicted of attempting to evade or defeat payment of income tax in violation of 26 United States Code section 7201. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. During the 2003 tax year, Respondent owned and operated Lemus Medical Clinic (LMC), in Commerce, California. During that time period, LMC provided physicals and drug

- testing to truck drivers as required by the Department of Transportation. Respondent required most of those truck drivers to pay for the testing in cash. In 2003, Respondent received approximately \$88,663 in cash from performing these drug tests. However, in order to conceal the cash receipts from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). Respondent knowingly failed to report that cash to the IRS.
- 11. Respondent knew that he had received substantial taxable income during 2003, namely \$88,663 in cash, and that he was required to file a United States Individual Tax Return, Form 1040, reporting his taxable income, including the cash he received, and pay the taxes that were due. Respondent willfully attempted to evade and defeat the assessment and payment of such tax by willfully failing to report the cash he received on his 2003 Federal Income Tax Return.
- 12. Respondent acted for the purpose of evading his duties under the tax laws and not as a result of accident or negligence. Respondent's actions resulted in an additional tax due and owing to the IRS of \$20,626 for 2003.
- 13. On or about March 2, 2011, in United States District Court, Central District of California, Case Number CR11-00181-MMM, an Information was filed charging Respondent with attempting to evade or defeat payment of income tax in violation of 26 United States Code section 7201.
- 14. On or about March 2, 2011, a plea agreement was filed in which Respondent pled guilty to the charge as set forth in the Information.
- 15. On or about October 24, 2011, Respondent was sentenced. The terms and conditions included the following: one-month commitment to the Bureau of Prisons; supervised release for a period of three years, with nine months in a home detention program; filing and payment of taxes owed for 2003 through 2007; and additional fees and penalties.
- 16. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraphs 9 through 15 constitutes the conviction of a crime, substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician, pursuant to section 2236 of the Code. Therefore cause for discipline exists.